

The sl_2 loop algebra symmetry of the twisted transfer matrix of the six-vertex model at roots of unity

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article.

2004 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 37 347

(http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/37/2/006)

View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details: IP Address: 171.66.16.90 The article was downloaded on 02/06/2010 at 18:00

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 37 (2004) 347-358

PII: S0305-4470(04)64995-X

The sl_2 loop algebra symmetry of the twisted transfer matrix of the six-vertex model at roots of unity

Tetsuo Deguchi

Department of Physics, Ochanomizu University, 2-1-1 Ohtsuka, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 112-8610, Japan

E-mail: deguchi@phys.ocha.ac.jp

Received 19 June 2003 Published 15 December 2003 Online at stacks.iop.org/JPhysA/37/347 (DOI: 10.1088/0305-4470/37/2/006)

Abstract

We discuss a family of operators which commute or anti-commute with the twisted transfer matrix of the six-vertex model at q being roots of unity, $q^{2N} = 1$. The operators commute with the Hamiltonian of the XXZ spin chain under the twisted boundary conditions, and they are also valid for the inhomogeneous case. For the case of the anti-periodic boundary conditions, we show explicitly that the operators generate the sl_2 loop algebra in the sector of the total spin operator $S^Z \equiv N/2 \pmod{N}$. The infinite-dimensional symmetry leads to exponentially-large spectral degeneracies, as shown for the periodic boundary conditions (Deguchi T, Fabricius K and McCoy B M 2001 J. Stat. Phys. 102 701). Furthermore, we derive explicitly the sl₂ loop algebra symmetry for the periodic XXZ spin chain with an odd number of sites in the sector $S^Z \equiv N/2 \pmod{N}$ when q is a primitive Nth root of unity with N odd. Interestingly, in the case of N = 3, various conjectures of combinatorial formulae for the XXZ spin chain with odd sites have been given by Stroganov and other authors. We also note a connection to the spectral degeneracies of the eight-vertex model.

PACS numbers: 75.10.Jm, 75.10.Pq, 05.50.+q

1. Introduction

The finite-size spectrum of the XXZ spin chain [1, 2] under twisted boundary conditions has attracted much interest recently and has been studied numerically or analytically such as by the Bethe ansatz [3-8]. The XXZ Hamiltonian defined on a ring of *L* sites is given by

$$\mathcal{H}_{XXZ} = J \sum_{j=1}^{L} \left(\sigma_j^X \sigma_{j+1}^X + \sigma_j^Y \sigma_{j+1}^Y + \Delta \sigma_j^Z \sigma_{j+1}^Z \right).$$
(1)

347

0305-4470/04/020347+12\$30.00 © 2004 IOP Publishing Ltd Printed in the UK

Here σ_j^{α} for $\alpha = X, Y, Z$ denotes the Pauli matrix defined on the *j*th site. Under the periodic boundary conditions we have $\sigma_{L+1}^{\alpha} = \sigma_1^{\alpha}$ for any α . We now introduce the twisted boundary conditions by

$$\sigma_{L+1}^{\pm} = \exp(\pm i\Phi)\sigma_1^{\pm} \qquad \sigma_{L+1}^Z = \sigma_1^Z.$$
(2)

We call the parameter Φ the twist angle.

There are several physical applications of twisted boundary conditions. The twist angle corresponds to the magnetic flux threaded through the ring [9]. Taking the variation of eigenvalues under changes of the flux [10], the effective mass has been evaluated exactly for the many-body system of interacting fermions or bosons in one dimension which is equivalent to the XXZ spin chain [4]. The finite-size spectrum of the XXZ spin chain under the twisted boundary conditions has also been studied from the viewpoint of the finite-size analysis of conformal field theories [3]. Associated with the variation of the ground-state energy, the flows of excited states have been numerically investigated with respect to the twist angle [3, 4, 6, 7]. In the spectral flows, we find several level crossings with large degeneracy. However, it has not been discussed explicitly what kind of symmetry operators correspond to the spectral degeneracies. The question should be interesting in particular from the viewpoint of the viewpoint of the level non-crossing rule as discussed by Heilmann and Lieb [11].

Recently, it has been found that the symmetry of the XXZ spin chain becomes enhanced at roots of unity. The sl_2 loop algebra commutes with the XXZ Hamiltonian under the periodic boundary conditions, and the infinite-dimensional algebra leads to many spectral degeneracies whose dimensions increase exponentially with respect to the system size [12]. Let us introduce the parameter q through the XXZ anisotropy Δ as

$$\Delta = \frac{q+q^{-1}}{2}.\tag{3}$$

It is shown that the generators of the sl_2 loop algebra commute or anti-commute with the transfer matrix of the six-vertex model when $q^{2N} = 1$, and all the defining relations of the sl_2 loop algebra are explicitly derived for the case in the sector $S^Z \equiv 0 \pmod{N}$. Here S^Z denotes the Z component of the total spin operator. Several aspects of the sl_2 loop algebra symmetry of the XXZ spin chain have been studied [13–19]. In particular, its connection to the spectral degeneracies of the transfer matrix of the eight-vertex model has been discussed [16, 17]. There are also some relevant papers [20, 21].

The purpose of this paper is to formulate a family of operators commuting with the XXZ Hamiltonian with twisted boundary conditions. They may explain the level crossings observed in the spectral flows with respect to the twist angle. Here we generalize the approach given in [12], and give some extended results. As an illustration, we show that when $\Phi = \pi$ and q is a primitive 2*N*th root of unity, the operators commuting with the twisted XXZ Hamiltonian generate the sl_2 loop algebra for the sector $S^Z = N/2 \pmod{N}$. Furthermore, in the sector $S^Z = N/2 \pmod{N}$, we explicitly show the defining relations of the sl_2 loop algebra for the case of the periodic boundary conditions where *L* is odd and *q* is a primitive *N*th root of unity with odd *N*. When N = 3, it is exactly the case in which various combinatorial formulae were discussed recently [22–25].

The content of the paper consists of the following: in section 2 we review the sl_2 loop algebra symmetry of the periodic XXZ spin chain [12]. In section 3 we introduce the transfer matrix of the six-vertex model under twisted boundary conditions. We also discuss some useful techniques such as the gauge transformation and the crossing symmetry. In section 4 we show commutation relations of the twisted transfer matrix with some powers of the quantum group generators, which are fundamental in the paper. We also show those of the inhomogeneous case. In section 5 we discuss operators commuting with the twisted

transfer matrix when q is a root of unity. We also discuss the special cases where we can explicitly check the defining relations of sl_2 loop algebra. Finally we note a connection to the eight-vertex model.

2. The loop algebra symmetry of the periodic XXZ spin chain

Let us review the sl_2 loop algebra symmetry of the XXZ spin chain under the periodic boundary conditions and introduce the quantum group $U_q(sl_2)$. The generators S^{\pm} and S^Z satisfy the defining relations

$$[S^+, S^-] = \frac{q^{2S^Z} - q^{-2S^Z}}{q - q^{-1}} \qquad [S^Z, S^\pm] = \pm S^\pm$$
(4)

with the comultiplication Δ given by

$$\Delta(S^{\pm}) = S^{\pm} \otimes q^{-S^{Z}} + q^{S^{Z}} \otimes S^{\pm} \qquad \Delta(S^{Z}) = S^{Z} \otimes I + I \otimes S^{Z}.$$
(5)

Here, the parameter q is generic. In fact, we may consider $U_q(L(sl_2))$, i.e., the q analogue of the universal enveloping algebra of the sl_2 loop algebra. For simplicity, however, we only consider $U_q(sl_2)$ in the paper.

Let V denote a two-dimensional vector space over C. On the Lth tensor product space $V^{\otimes L}$, the generators S^{\pm} and S^{Z} are given by

$$q^{S^{Z}} = q^{\sigma^{Z}/2} \otimes \cdots \otimes q^{\sigma^{Z}/2}$$

$$S^{\pm} = \sum_{j=1}^{L} q^{\sigma^{Z}/2} \otimes \cdots \otimes q^{\sigma^{Z}/2} \otimes \sigma_{j}^{\pm} \otimes q^{-\sigma^{Z}/2} \otimes \cdots \otimes q^{-\sigma^{Z}/2} = \sum_{j=1}^{L} S_{j}^{\pm}.$$
(6)

Here S_j^{\pm} denotes the *j*th term in the sum (6). Considering the automorphism of $U_q(L(sl_2))$, we introduce the following operators:

$$T^{\pm} = \sum_{j=1}^{L} q^{-\sigma^{Z}/2} \otimes \cdots \otimes q^{-\sigma^{Z}/2} \otimes \sigma_{j}^{\pm} \otimes q^{\sigma^{Z}/2} \otimes \cdots \otimes q^{\sigma^{Z}/2} = \sum_{j=1}^{L} T_{j}^{\pm}.$$
 (7)

Let us denote by $S^{\pm(n)}$ and $T^{\pm(n)}$ the following operators:

$$S^{\pm(n)} = (S^{\pm})^n / [n]! \qquad T^{\pm(n)} = (T^{\pm})^n / [n]!.$$
(8)

Here *n* is a positive integer, and [*n*] and [*n*]! denote the *q*-integer $[n] = (q^n - q^{-n})/(q - q^{-1})$ and the *q*-factorial $[n]! = [n][n - 1] \cdots [1]$, respectively. Then, we have

$$S^{\pm(n)} = \sum_{1 \leqslant j_1 < \dots < j_n \leqslant L} q^{\frac{n}{2}\sigma^Z} \otimes \dots \otimes q^{\frac{n}{2}\sigma^Z} \otimes \sigma_{j_1}^{\pm} \otimes q^{\frac{(n-2)}{2}\sigma^Z}$$
$$\otimes \dots \otimes q^{\frac{(n-2)}{2}\sigma^Z} \otimes \sigma_{j_2}^{\pm} \otimes q^{\frac{(n-4)}{2}\sigma^Z} \otimes \dots \otimes \sigma_{j_n}^{\pm} \otimes q^{-\frac{n}{2}\sigma^Z} \otimes \dots \otimes q^{-\frac{n}{2}\sigma^Z}$$
(9)

$$T^{\pm(n)} = \sum_{1 \leqslant j_1 < \dots < j_n \leqslant L} q^{-\frac{n}{2}\sigma^Z} \otimes \dots \otimes q^{-\frac{n}{2}\sigma^Z} \otimes \sigma_{j_1}^{\pm} \otimes q^{-\frac{(n-2)}{2}\sigma^Z}$$
$$\otimes \dots \otimes q^{-\frac{(n-2)}{2}\sigma^Z} \otimes \sigma_{j_2}^{\pm} \otimes q^{-\frac{(n-4)}{2}\sigma^Z} \otimes \dots \otimes \sigma_{j_n}^{\pm} \otimes q^{\frac{n}{2}\sigma^Z} \otimes \dots \otimes q^{\frac{n}{2}\sigma^Z}.$$
(10)

Let the symbol $\tau_{6V}(v)$ denote the transfer matrix of the six-vertex model. We now take the parameter q as a root of unity. We consider the limit of sending q to a root of unity: $q^{2N} = 1$. Then we can show the (anti) commutation relations [12] in the sector of $S^Z \equiv 0 \pmod{N}$

$$S^{\pm(N)}\tau_{6V}(v) = q^N \tau_{6V}(v) S^{\pm(N)} \qquad T^{\pm(N)} \tau_{6V}(v) = q^N \tau_{6V}(v) T^{\pm(N)}.$$
(11)

Since the XXZ Hamiltonian H_{XXZ} is given by the logarithmic derivative of the transfer matrix, we have in the sector $S^Z \equiv 0 \pmod{N}$

$$[S^{\pm(N)}, H_{XXZ}] = [T^{\pm(N)}, H_{XXZ}] = 0.$$
(12)

Let us now consider the algebra generated by the operators [12]. When q is a primitive 2*N*th root of unity with *N* even, or a primitive *N*th root of unity with *N* odd, we consider the following identification [12]:

$$E_0^+ = S^{+(N)}$$
 $E_0^- = S^{-(N)}$ $E_1^+ = T^{-(N)}$ $E_1^- = T^{+(N)}$ $H_0 = -H_1 = \frac{2}{N}S^Z.$
(13)

Using the automorphism

θ

$$(E_0^{\pm}) = E_1^{\pm} \qquad \theta(H_0) = H_1$$
 (14)

we may take the following identification:

$$E_0^+ = T^{-(N)}$$
 $E_0^- = T^{+(N)}$ $E_1^+ = S^{+(N)}$ $E_1^- = S^{-(N)}$ $-H_0 = H_1 = \frac{2}{N}S^Z.$
(15)

When q is a primitive 2*N*th root of unity with *N* odd, we may put as follows:

$$E_0 = iT^{-(N)} \qquad E_1 = iS^{+(N)} \qquad F_0 = iT^{+(N)} \qquad F_1 = iS^{-(N)} \qquad -H_0 = H_1 = \frac{2}{N}S^Z.$$
(16)

It is shown in [12] that the operators E_j^{\pm} , H_j for j = 0, 1, satisfy the defining relations of the algebra $U(L(sl_2))$. They are given explicitly by the following:

$$H_0 + H_1 = 0 \qquad [H_i, E_j] = a_{ij}E_j \qquad [H_i, F_j] = -a_{ij}F_j \qquad (i, j = 0, 1)$$
(17)

$$[E_i, F_j] = \delta_{ij} H_j \qquad (i, j = 0, 1)$$
(18)

 $[E_i, [E_i, [E_i, E_j]]] = 0 \qquad [F_i, [F_i, F_j]] = 0 \qquad (i, j = 0, 1, i \neq j).$ (19)

Here, the Cartan matrix (a_{ij}) of $A_1^{(1)}$ is defined by

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{00} & a_{01} \\ a_{10} & a_{11} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -2 \\ -2 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (20)

Relations (17) hold for generic q, while the higher Serre relations (19) hold if q is a primitive 2Nth root of unity or a primitive Nth root of unity with N odd [12, 26]. Relation (18) holds if q is a primitive 2Nth root of unity with N even or a primitive Nth root of unity with N odd. When q is a primitive 2N th root of unity with N odd, we take the identification (16) with the imaginary factors.

3. Twisted transfer matrix of the six-vertex model

3.1. Boltzmann weights

Let us consider the configuration around the vertex as shown in figure 1. Variables *a*, *b*, *c* and *d* are defined on the edges at the vertex, and they take value 1 or 2. The value 1 corresponds to a polarization vector that is in the upward or rightward direction, and the value 2 to a polarization vector in the downward or leftward direction. We assign the Boltzmann weight $X_{bd}^{ac}(u)$ to the configuration in figure 1. The weight vanishes unless a + c = b + d, which we



Figure 1. Vertex configuration for the Boltzmann weight $X_{bd}^{ac}(u)$. The spectral parameter *u* corresponds to the angle between the two lines *b* to *c* and *d* to *a*, which is important to the Yang–Baxter equation (25).

call the 'ice rule' or the 'charge conservation'. All the nonzero Boltzmann weights are given by

$$X_{11}^{11}(u) = X_{22}^{22}(u) = \sinh(2\eta + u) \qquad X_{21}^{12}(u) = X_{12}^{21}(u) = \sinh u$$

$$X_{12}^{12}(u) = X_{21}^{21}(u) = \sinh 2\eta.$$
(21)

Here $q = \exp(2\eta)$, and *u* is the spectral parameter.

We define operators $X_j(u)$ for j = 0, 1, ..., L - 1 by

$$X_{j}(u) = \sum_{a,b,c,d=1,2} X_{bd}^{ac}(u) I_{0} \otimes I_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{j-1} \otimes E_{j}^{ab} \otimes E_{j+1}^{cd} \otimes I_{j+2} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{L}$$
(22)

where I denotes the identity matrix and E^{ab} denotes the matrix

$$(E^{ab})_{c,d} = \delta_{a,c}\delta_{b,d}$$
 for $c, d = 1, 2.$ (23)

It is easy to show that the operators $X_j(u)$ constructed from the Boltzmann weights (21) satisfy the Yang–Baxter equation in the following:

$$X_{i}(u)X_{i+1}(u+v)X_{i}(v) = X_{i+1}(v)X_{i}(u+v)X_{i+1}(u).$$
(24)

In terms of the Boltzmann weights the operator relation (24) is written as follows:

$$\sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} X^{a_1a_2}_{\alpha\gamma}(u) X^{\gamma a_3}_{\beta b_3}(u+v) X^{\alpha\beta}_{b_1b_2}(v) = \sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} X^{a_2a_3}_{\beta\alpha}(v) X^{a_1\beta}_{b_1\gamma}(u+v) X^{\gamma\alpha}_{b_2b_3}(u).$$
(25)

3.2. The six-vertex transfer matrix with the twisted boundary conditions

Recall that the operators $X_j(u)$ are acting on the tensor product $V^{\otimes (L+1)} = V_0 \otimes V_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes V_L$. We now define the twisted transfer matrix of the six-vertex model by

$$\tau(u;\phi) = \operatorname{tr}_0(q^{\phi\sigma_0^2} X_{L-1}(u) \cdots X_1(u) X_0(u))$$
(26)

where the symbol tr_0 denotes the trace over the 0th space V_0 .

The logarithmic derivative of the twisted transfer matrix leads to the XXZ Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}_{XXZ}(\phi)$ under the twisted boundary conditions

$$\sinh 2\eta \times \frac{d}{du} \log \tau(u;\phi)|_{u=0} = \sum_{j=1}^{L-1} \left(2\sigma_j^+ \sigma_{j+1}^- + 2\sigma_j^- \sigma_{j+1}^+ + \cosh 2\eta \sigma_j^Z \sigma_{j+1}^Z \right) + q^{-2\phi} 2\sigma_L^+ \sigma_1^- + q^{2\phi} 2\sigma_L^- \sigma_1^+ + \cosh 2\eta \sigma_L^Z \sigma_1^Z + L \cosh 2\eta = \mathcal{H}_{XXZ}(\phi)/J + L\Delta$$
(27)

where $\Delta = \cosh 2\eta$ and the twist angle Φ is related to ϕ through the relation

$$q^{2\phi} = \exp(i\Phi). \tag{28}$$

We should note that the twisted transfer matrix (26) is different from that of [12]: the logarithmic derivative of the transfer matrix $T^{\text{DFM}}(v; \phi)$ of [12] leads to the XXZ Hamiltonian under the periodic boundary conditions for any ϕ , while that of (26) gives the twisted XXZ Hamiltonian (27).

Let the symbol $\Pi^{(12\cdots L)}$ denote the shift operator defined by

$$\Pi^{(12\cdots L)}e_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes e_L = e_L \otimes e_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{L-1}.$$
(29)

Then, the twisted transfer matrix $\tau(u; \phi)$ gives the twisted shift operator $\tau(0; \phi) = \sinh^L 2\eta \Pi(\phi)$, where $\Pi(\phi)$ is defined by

$$\Pi(\phi) = q^{\phi \sigma_1^Z} \Pi^{(12\cdots L)} = \Pi^{(12\cdots L)} q^{\phi \sigma_L^Z}.$$
(30)

3.3. Gauge transformations and the crossing symmetry

We introduce the following transformation on the Boltzmann weights of the six-vertex model [27]:

$$X_{bd}^{ac}(u) \to \tilde{X}_{bd}^{ac}(u) = \epsilon^{a+b} \exp\left(\kappa (a+b-c-d)u/2\right) X_{bd}^{ac}(u).$$
(31)

Here κ is arbitrary and $\epsilon = \pm 1$. We can show that the transformed weights $\tilde{X}_{bd}^{ac}(u)$ satisfy the Yang–Baxter equation (25) if $X_{bd}^{ac}(u)$ satisfy it. We call (31) a gauge transformation. Let $\tilde{X}_{j}(u)$ denote the operator defined by (22) with the $X_{bd}^{ac}(u)$ replaced with $\tilde{X}_{bd}^{ac}(u)$. Then, $\tilde{X}_{j}(u)$ also satisfy the Yang–Baxter equation (24).

Let us discuss the gauge transformation (31) with $\epsilon = 1$. It has two important properties. First, the transfer matrix $\tau(u; \phi)$ is invariant under the gauge transformation due to the charge conservation. Second, when $\kappa = \pm 1$, $\tilde{X}_j(u)$ can be expressed in terms of the generator of the Temperley–Lieb algebra [27]. Let $X^{\pm ac}_{bd}(u)$ denote the transformed weight $\tilde{X}^{ac}_{bd}(u)$ with $\kappa = \pm 1$, respectively. In terms of the Boltzmann weights, we have the following decomposition [27]:

$$X^{\pm ac}_{\ bd}(u) = \sinh(u+2\eta)\delta_{a,b}\delta_{c,d} + \sinh ur_a^{\pm}r_b^{\pm}\delta_{a,\bar{c}}\delta_{b,\bar{d}}.$$
(32)

Here \bar{a} denotes the conjugate of a, which is defined by $\bar{1} = 2$ and $\bar{2} = 1$. The quantities r_i^{\pm} (j = 1, 2) are defined by [28]

$$r_1^{\pm} = i \exp(\mp \eta)$$
 $r_2^{\pm} = -i \exp(\pm \eta).$ (33)

The weights $X_{b,d}^{\pm a,c}(u)$ have the following symmetry [28]:

$$X_{b,d}^{\pm a,c}(u) = -r_b^{\pm} r_{\bar{c}}^{\pm} X_{d,\bar{c}}^{\pm \bar{b},a}(-2\eta - u) = -r_a^{\pm} r_{\bar{d}}^{\pm} X_{\bar{a},b}^{\pm c,\bar{d}}(-2\eta - u).$$
(34)

We call it the crossing symmetry.

3.4. The Temperley–Lieb decomposition of $X_j(u)$

Let us introduce the following operators:

$$U_{j}^{\pm} = \sum_{a,b,c,d=1,2} r_{a}^{\pm} r_{b}^{\pm} \delta_{a,\bar{c}} \delta_{b,\bar{d}} I_{0} \otimes I_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{j-1} \otimes E_{j}^{ab} \otimes E_{j+1}^{cd} \otimes I_{j+2} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{L}.$$
 (35)

The U_i^{\pm} satisfy the defining relations of the Temperley–Lieb algebra [29, 30]:

$$\left(U_{j}^{\pm}\right)^{2} = \mathcal{Q}^{1/2}U_{j}^{\pm} \qquad U_{j}^{\pm}U_{j+1}^{\pm}U_{j}^{\pm} = U_{j}^{\pm} \qquad U_{j+1}^{\pm}U_{j}^{\pm}U_{j+1}^{\pm} = U_{j+1}^{\pm}$$
(36)

for j = 1, 2, ..., L - 1. Here $Q^{1/2}$ is given by $Q^{1/2} = -(q + q^{-1})$. We call U_j^{\pm} the Temperley-Lieb operators.

In terms of the Temperley–Lieb operators, the operator $X_j^{\pm}(u)$ can be expressed as follows [30]:

$$X_i^{\pm}(u) = \sinh(u+2\eta)I + \sinh uU_i^{\pm}.$$
(37)

The decomposition (37) corresponds to (32) for the Boltzmann weights.

The Temperley–Lieb operators U_j^+ commute with the generators of $U_q(sl_2)$. We can show $[S^{\pm}, U_j^+] = 0$ for j = 0, 1, ..., L - 1. It can be important, since the XXZ Hamiltonian can be expressed in terms of U_i^+ and a boundary term [31].

It is known that the periodic XXZ spin chain does not commute with $U_q(sl_2)$. In fact, the generators S^{\pm} do not commute with the periodic XXZ Hamiltonian, since they are not compatible with the periodic boundary conditions. When q is a root of unity, however, some powers of S^{\pm} can commute with it [31]. As a matter of fact, the observation can be developed much further [12]. We first note that U_j^+ commute with S^{\pm} while they do not with T^{\pm} , and U_j^- commute with T^{\pm} while they do not with S^{\pm} . When $q^{2N} = 1$, we can show that the transfer matrix commutes with $S^{\pm(N)}$ and $T^{\pm(N)}$ simultaneously, and they generate the sl_2 loop algebra [12].

4. Relations of the transfer matrix for q generic

4.1. Decomposition of the transfer matrix

Let us consider the gauge transformations (31) with $\kappa = \pm 1$ and $\epsilon = 1$. Due to the charge conservation, they do not change any of the matrix elements of the transfer matrix (26). Thus, we have

$$\mathbf{r}(u;\phi) = \mathrm{tr}_0 \left(q^{\phi \sigma_0^Z} X_{L-1}^{\pm}(u) \cdots X_1^{\pm}(u) X_0^{\pm}(u) \right).$$
(38)

We denote by $\tau^{\pm}(u; \phi)$ the right-hand side of (38). Putting the decomposition (37) for $X_0^{\pm}(u)$ into $\tau^{\pm}(u; \phi)$, and making use of the crossing symmetry (34), we can show the following:

$$\tau(u;\phi) = \Pi(\phi) X_{LL}^{\pm}(u) + X_{RR}^{\pm}(u) \Pi(\phi)^{-1}$$
(39)

where the symbols $X_{LL}^{\pm}(u)$ and $X_{RR}^{\pm}(u)$ are given by

$$X_{LL}^{\pm}(u) = \sinh(u+2\eta)X_{L-1}^{\pm}(u)\cdots X_{2}^{\pm}(u)X_{1}^{\pm}(u)$$

$$X_{RR}^{\pm}(u) = (-1)^{L}\sinh(u)X_{1}^{\pm}(-2\eta-u)X_{2}^{\pm}(-2\eta-u)\cdots X_{L-1}^{\pm}(-2\eta-u).$$
(40)

We remark that $X_j^+(u)$ commute with S^{\pm} while $X_j^-(u)$ commute with T^{\pm} . When $\phi = 0$, (39) is reduced to that of the periodic one [12].

4.2. Transformations of the twisted shift operator

We can show the following relations of $(S^{\pm})^n$ and $(T^{\pm})^n$ for generic q:

$$\Pi(\phi)(S^{\pm})^{n}\Pi(\phi)^{-1} = q^{-n\sigma_{1}^{Z}} \left\{ (S^{\pm})^{n} + q^{\mp(n-1)}[n](S^{\pm})^{n-1}S_{1}^{\pm}(q^{2(S^{Z}\pm n\pm\phi)} - 1) \right\}$$

$$\Pi(\phi)^{-1}(S^{\pm})^{n}\Pi(\phi) = q^{n\sigma_{L}^{Z}} \left\{ (S^{\pm})^{n} + q^{\pm(n-1)}[n](S^{\pm})^{n-1}S_{L}^{\pm}(q^{-2(S^{Z}\pm n\pm\phi)} - 1) \right\}$$

$$\Pi(\phi)(T^{\pm})^{n}\Pi(\phi)^{-1} = q^{n\sigma_{1}^{Z}} \left\{ (T^{\pm})^{n} + q^{\pm(n-1)}[n](T^{\pm})^{n-1}T_{1}^{\pm}(q^{-2(S^{Z}\pm n\mp\phi)} - 1) \right\}$$

$$\Pi(\phi)^{-1}(T^{\pm})^{n}\Pi(\phi) = q^{-n\sigma_{L}^{Z}} \left\{ (T^{\pm})^{n} + q^{\mp(n-1)}[n](T^{\pm})^{n-1}T_{L}^{\pm}(q^{2(S^{Z}\pm n\mp\phi)} - 1) \right\}.$$

$$(41)$$

Let us briefly discuss the derivation. We first consider the following:

$$\Pi(\phi)(S^{\pm})^{n}\Pi(\phi)^{-1} = (\Pi(\phi)S^{\pm}\Pi(\phi)^{-1})^{n} = \left\{ \left(S^{\pm} - S_{1}^{\pm}\right)q^{-\sigma_{1}^{Z}} + q^{2\phi}S_{1}^{\pm}q^{2S^{Z} - \sigma_{1}^{Z}} \right\}^{n}.$$
(42)

Here we denote by A and $q^{\pm 2\phi}B$ the first and second terms of the right-hand side, respectively. Then we can show the following:

$$(A + q^{\pm 2\phi}B)^n = A^n + q^{\pm 2\phi} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} A^{n-1-j} B A^j.$$
(43)

Thus, we can derive expression (41) through the following:

$$A^{n} = q^{-n\sigma_{1}^{Z}} \left(S^{\pm} - S_{1}^{\pm} \right)^{n} = q^{-n\sigma_{1}^{Z}} \left((S^{\pm})^{n} - q^{\mp (n-1)}[n](S^{\pm})^{n-1}S_{1}^{\pm} \right)$$

$$A^{n-1-j} B A^{j} = q^{\pm 2(j+1)} q^{-n\sigma_{1}^{Z}} (S^{\pm})^{n-1} S_{1}^{\pm} q^{2S^{Z}}.$$
(44)

4.3. Relations of the twisted transfer matrix

1

Using relations (41), we can derive the following relations of the twisted transfer matrix for generic q:

$$(S^{\pm})^{n}\tau(u;\phi) = \tau(u;\phi+n)(S^{\pm})^{n} + q^{\pm(n-1)}[n]\Pi(\phi+n)(S^{\pm})^{n-1}S_{L}^{\pm}(q^{-2(S^{Z}\pm n\pm\phi)}-1)X_{LL}^{+}(u) + q^{\mp(n-1)}[n]X_{RR}^{+}(u)\Pi(\phi+n)^{-1}(S^{\pm})^{n-1}S_{1}^{\pm}(q^{2(S^{Z}\pm n\pm\phi)}-1) (T^{\pm})^{n}\tau(u;\phi) = \tau(u;\phi-n)(T^{\pm})^{n} + q^{\mp(n-1)}[n]\Pi(\phi-n)(T^{\pm})^{n-1}T_{L}^{\pm}(q^{2(S^{Z}\pm n\mp\phi)}-1)X_{LL}^{-}(u) + q^{\pm(n-1)}[n]X_{RR}^{-}(u)\Pi(\phi-n)^{-1}(T^{\pm})^{n-1}T_{1}^{\pm}(q^{-2(S^{Z}\pm n\mp\phi)}-1).$$
(45)

Here we note that S^{\pm} commute with $X_{LL}^+(u)$ and $X_{RR}^+(u)$, and also that T^{\pm} commute with $X_{LL}^-(u)$ and $X_{RR}^-(u)$. Some different forms of the commutation relations are given in appendix A.

We remark that some relations of $S^{\pm(N)}$ with the transfer matrix have been investigated [32].

4.4. Inhomogeneous case of the twisted transfer matrix

We define the inhomogeneous transfer matrix of the six-vertex model under the twisted boundary conditions by

$$\tau(u;\phi;\{w_j\}) = \operatorname{tr}_0(q^{\phi\sigma_0^2}X_{L-1}(u-w_{L-1})\cdots X_1(u-w_1)X_0(u-w_0)).$$
(46)

Here w_j are called inhomogeneous parameters. The twisted transfer matrix (46) is called inhomogeneous. Under the gauge transformation (31) with $\epsilon = 1$, $\tau(u; \phi; \{w_j\})$ is mapped to $\tilde{\tau}(u; \phi; \{w_j\})$ as follows:

$$\tau(u;\phi;\{w_j\}) = V(\kappa)\tilde{\tau}(u;\phi;\{w_j\})V(\kappa)^{-1}$$
(47)

where $V(\kappa)$ is given by the diagonal matrix

$$(V(\kappa))_{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_L}^{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_L} = \exp\left(\kappa \sum_{j=1}^L w_j a_j\right) \delta_{a_1, b_1} \delta_{a_2, b_2} \cdots \delta_{a_L, b_L}.$$
(48)

Let $\tau^{\pm}(u; \phi; \{w_j\})$ denote the transformed transfer matrices $\tilde{\tau}(u; \phi; \{w_j\})$ with $\kappa = \pm 1$, respectively. We write $V(\pm 1)$ by V^{\pm} . We thus have

 $\tau(u;\phi;\{w_j\}) = V^{\pm}\tau^{\pm}(u;\phi;\{w_j\})V^{\mp}.$ (49)

We therefore define \tilde{S}^{\pm} and \tilde{T}^{\pm} by

$$\tilde{S}^{\pm} = V^+ S^{\pm} V^- \qquad \tilde{T}^{\pm} = V^- T^{\pm} V^+.$$
 (50)

The transfer matrices $\tau^{\pm}(u; \phi; \{w_j\})$ can be decomposed as

$$\tau^{\pm}(u;\phi;\{w_j\}) = \Pi(\phi)X_{LL}^{\pm}(u;\{w_j\}) + X_{RR}^{\pm}(u;\{w_j\})\Pi(\phi)^{-1}$$
(51)

where $X_{LL}^{\pm}(u; \{w_j\})$ and $X_{RR}^{\pm}(u; \{w_j\})$ are given by $X_{LL}^{\pm}(u; \{w_j\}) = \sinh(u + 2\eta - w_0) X_{L-1}^{\pm}(u - w_{L-1}) \cdots X_1^{\pm}(u - w_1)$

$$X_{RR}^{\pm}(u; \{w_j\}) = (-1)^L \sinh(u - w_0) X_1^{\pm}(-2\eta - u + w_1)$$

$$\times X_2^{\pm}(-2\eta - u + w_2) \cdots X_{L-1}^{\pm}(-2\eta - u + w_{L-1}).$$
(52)

In the same way as (45) we can show

$$(S^{\pm})^{n}\tau^{+}(u;\phi;\{w_{j}\}) = \tau^{+}(u;\phi+n;\{w_{j}\})(S^{\pm})^{n} +q^{\pm(n-1)}[n]\Pi(\phi+n)(S^{\pm})^{n-1}S_{L}^{\pm}(q^{-2(S^{Z}\pm n\pm\phi)}-1)X_{LL}^{+}(u;\{w_{j}\}) +q^{\mp(n-1)}[n]X_{RR}^{+}(u;\{w_{j}\})\Pi(\phi+n)^{-1}(S^{\pm})^{n-1}S_{1}^{\pm}(q^{2(S^{Z}\pm n\pm\phi)}-1) (T^{\pm})^{n}\tau^{-}(u;\phi;\{w_{j}\}) = \tau^{-}(u;\phi-n;\{w_{j}\})(T^{\pm})^{n} +q^{\mp(n-1)}[n]\Pi(\phi-n)(T^{\pm})^{n-1}T_{L}^{\pm}(q^{2(S^{Z}\pm n\mp\phi)}-1)X_{LL}^{-}(u;\{w_{j}\})$$
(53)

$$+q^{\pm(n-1)}[n]X_{RR}^{-}(u;\{w_{j}\})\Pi(\phi-n)^{-1}(T^{\pm})^{n-1}T_{1}^{\pm}(q^{-2(S^{Z}\pm n\mp\phi)}-1)$$

Through relation (49) we can derive commutation or anti-commutation relations for the inhomogeneous twisted transfer matrix $\tau(u; \phi; \{w_j\})$. Let $|k\rangle\rangle$ denote such a vector with $S^Z = k$. Then we have

$$(\tilde{S}^{\pm})^{n} \tau(u;\phi;\{w_{j}\})|k\rangle\rangle = \tau(u;\phi+n;\{w_{j}\})(\tilde{S}^{\pm})^{n}|k\rangle\rangle \qquad \text{when} \quad q^{2(k\pm n\pm\phi)} = 1$$

$$(\tilde{T}^{\pm})^{n} \tau(u;\phi;\{w_{j}\})|k\rangle\rangle = \tau(u;\phi-n;\{w_{j}\})(\tilde{T}^{\pm})^{n}|k\rangle\rangle \qquad \text{when} \quad q^{2(k\pm n\pm\phi)} = 1.$$

$$(54)$$

We note that for the case of $\phi = 0$, the inhomogeneous result was addressed at the end of [12].

5. The loop algebra symmetry

5.1. Operators commuting with the twisted transfer matrix

Let us assume that q is a root of unity $q^{2N} = 1$. We denote by $|k\rangle$ such a vector that has a fixed S^Z value and it is equivalent to $k \mod N$: $S^Z \equiv k \pmod{N}$. Here k is an integer or a half-integer. Let m and n be two non-negative integers such that $m \equiv n \pmod{N}$. Then we have

$$(S^{\pm})^{m}(T^{\mp})^{n}\tau(u;\phi)|\pm n\mp\phi\rangle = \tau(u;\phi+m-n)(S^{\pm})^{m}(T^{\mp})^{n}|\pm n\mp\phi\rangle$$

$$(T^{\pm})^{m}(S^{\mp})^{n}\tau(u;\phi)|\pm n\pm\phi\rangle = \tau(u;\phi-m+n)(T^{\pm})^{m}(S^{\mp})^{n}|\pm n\pm\phi\rangle.$$
(55)

Relations (55) are derived from (45). We note that when m = n expressions (55) are also valid for the case of q generic.

Let us denote by $\theta(n)$ the least non-negative integer which is equivalent to $n \mod N$. In the sector $S^Z \equiv \ell \pmod{N}$ we have commutation relations $X\tau(u, p) = \tau(u, p)X$, where X are given by

$$(S^{\pm})^{\theta(\pm\ell+p)}(T^{\mp})^{\theta(\pm\ell+p)} \qquad (T^{\pm})^{\theta(\pm\ell-p)}(S^{\mp})^{\theta(\pm\ell-p)} \tag{56}$$

and commutation or anti-commutation relations $X\tau(u, p) = q^N \tau(u, p)X$, where X are given by

$$(S^{\pm})^{\theta(\pm\ell+p)+N}(T^{\mp})^{\theta(\pm\ell+p)} \qquad (S^{\pm})^{\theta(\pm\ell+p)}(T^{\mp})^{\theta(\pm\ell+p)+N} (T^{\pm})^{\theta(\pm\ell-p)+N}(S^{\mp})^{\theta(\pm\ell-p)} \qquad (T^{\pm})^{\theta(\pm\ell-p)}(S^{\mp})^{\theta(\pm\ell-p)+N}.$$
(57)

Here we assume that ℓ and p are such integers or half-integers that $\ell \pm p$ are integers.

In the sector $S^Z \equiv \ell \pmod{N}$, the operators (56) and (57) commute with the twisted XXZ Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}_{XXZ}(\phi)$ with $\phi \equiv p \pmod{N}$. We recall that $\mathcal{H}_{XXZ}(\phi)$ is given by the logarithmic derivative of the twisted transfer matrix $\tau(u; \phi)$. For instance, we can show that $S^{\pm(N)}$ and $T^{\pm(N)}$ commute with the anti-periodic XXZ Hamiltonian in the sector $S^Z \equiv N/2 \pmod{N}$, when *q* is a primitive 2*N*th root of unity. Putting $\ell = N/2$ and p = N/2 in (57), we have

$$[S^{\pm(N)}, H_{XXZ}(N/2)] | N/2 \rangle = [T^{\pm(N)}, H_{XXZ}(N/2)] | N/2 \rangle = 0.$$
(58)

5.2. Symmetry operators for the inhomogeneous twisted transfer matrix

From equations (54), in the sector $S^Z \equiv \ell \pmod{N}$, we have the commutation relations $X\tau(u; p; \{w_i\}) = \tau(u; p; \{w_i\})X$ where X are given by

$$(\tilde{S}^{\pm})^{\theta(\pm\ell+p)}(\tilde{T}^{\mp})^{\theta(\pm\ell+p)} \qquad (\tilde{T}^{\pm})^{\theta(\pm\ell-p)}(\tilde{S}^{\mp})^{\theta(\pm\ell-p)}$$
(59)

and commutation or anti-commutation relations $X\tau(u; p; \{w_j\}) = q^N \tau(u; p; \{w_j\})X$ where X are given by

$$(\tilde{S}^{\pm})^{\theta(\pm\ell+p)+N} (\tilde{T}^{\mp})^{\theta(\pm\ell+p)} \qquad (\tilde{S}^{\pm})^{\theta(\pm\ell+p)} (\tilde{T}^{\mp})^{\theta(\pm\ell+p)+N} (\tilde{T}^{\pm})^{\theta(\pm\ell-p)+N} (\tilde{S}^{\mp})^{\theta(\pm\ell-p)} \qquad (\tilde{T}^{\pm})^{\theta(\pm\ell-p)} (\tilde{S}^{\mp})^{\theta(\pm\ell-p)+N}.$$
(60)

Here we recall that ℓ and p are such integers or half-integers that $\ell \pm p$ are integers.

5.3. The sl₂ loop algebra at $\Phi = \pi$

Let us discuss the anti-periodic boundary conditions or the twisted boundary conditions with $\Phi = \pi$. In the sector $S^Z \equiv N/2 \pmod{N}$, we can show explicitly the defining relations of the sl_2 loop algebra. We consider two cases: (i) q is a primitive 2Nth root of unity with N even (L is even); (ii) q is a primitive 2Nth root of unity with N odd (L is odd).

Let us consider the formula for generic q

$$[S^{+(N)}, S^{-(N)}] = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{S^{-(N-j)} S^{+(N-j)}}{[j]!} \prod_{k=0}^{j-1} \frac{q^{2S^{Z}-k} - q^{-2S^{Z}+k}}{q - q^{-1}}.$$
 (61)

Taking the limit $q^{2N} \rightarrow 1$ in the sector $S^Z \equiv N/2 \pmod{N}$, we have the following for the cases (i) and (ii):

$$[S^{+(N)}, S^{-(N)}] = [T^{+(N)}, T^{-(N)}] = \frac{2}{N}S^{Z}.$$
(62)

We thus take the following identification for the cases (i) and (ii):

$$E_0^+ = T^{-(N)}$$
 $E_0^- = T^{+(N)}$ $E_1^+ = S^{+(N)}$ $E_1^- = S^{-(N)}$ $-H_0 = H_1 = \frac{2}{N}S^Z.$
(63)

Then, we can show that they satisfy the defining relations of the sl_2 loop algebra: (17), (18) and (19).

5.4. The sl_2 loop algebra symmetry of the periodic XXZ spin chain with L odd

As an application of the (anti-)commutation relations (45), we discuss the case of the periodic boundary conditions with *L* odd, where *q* is a primitive *N*th root of unity with *N* odd. Taking the same identification (63) of the generators, we can show explicitly that they satisfy the defining relations of the sl_2 loop algebra in the sector $S^Z \equiv N/2 \pmod{N}$.

For sectors other than $S^Z \equiv N/2 \pmod{N}$, we have not explicitly shown the defining relations of the sl_2 loop algebra. However, we have a conjecture that some of the operators given in (57) should generate the sl_2 loop algebra. By a different method, we can show that the spectral degeneracies related to the sl_2 loop algebra also exist in sectors other than $S^Z \equiv N/2 \pmod{N}$. We can derive it from the general result on the spectral degeneracy of the eight-vertex model [16] and through the XXZ limit of the XYZ spin chain. Some details should be discussed elsewhere.

Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank A A Belavin, C Korff, K Kudo, B M McCoy and A Nishino for their helpful comments. He would also like to thank the organizers: D Arnaudon, J Avan, L Frappat, E Ragoucy and P Sorba for their kind invitation to the international workshop on Recent Advances in the Theory of Quantum Integrable Systems (RAQIS03), 25–28 March 2003, LAPTH, Annecy-le-Vieux, France. This work is partially supported by a grant-in-aid (no 14702012).

Appendix. Commutation or anti-commution relations

We can show the following relations for q generic:

$$\begin{split} \Pi(\phi)(S^{\pm})^{n}\Pi(\phi)^{-1} &= \left\{ (S^{\pm})^{n} + q^{\mp(n-1)}[n](S^{\pm})^{n-1}S_{1}^{\pm}(q^{2(S^{Z}\pm\phi)} - 1) \right\} q^{-n\sigma_{1}^{Z}} \\ \Pi(\phi)^{-1}(S^{\pm})^{n}\Pi(\phi) &= \left\{ (S^{\pm})^{n} + q^{\pm(n-1)}[n](S^{\pm})^{n-1}S_{L}^{\pm}(q^{-2(S^{Z}\pm\phi)} - 1) \right\} q^{n\sigma_{L}^{Z}} \\ \Pi(\phi)(T^{\pm})^{n}\Pi(\phi)^{-1} &= \left\{ (T^{\pm})^{n} + q^{\pm(n-1)}[n](T^{\pm})^{n-1}T_{1}^{\pm}(q^{-2(S^{Z}\pm\phi)} - 1) \right\} q^{-n\sigma_{L}^{Z}} \\ \Pi(\phi)^{-1}(T^{\pm})^{n}\Pi(\phi) &= \left\{ (T^{\pm})^{n} + q^{\mp(n-1)}[n](T^{\pm})^{n-1}T_{L}^{\pm}(q^{2(S^{Z}\pm\phi)} - 1) \right\} q^{-n\sigma_{L}^{Z}} \\ By using (64) we have \\ (S^{\pm})^{n}\tau(u;\phi) &= \tau(u;\phi+n)(S^{\pm})^{n} - q^{\mp(n-1)}[n](S^{\pm})^{n-1}S_{1}^{\pm}(q^{2(S^{Z}\pm n\pm\phi)} - 1)\Pi(\phi)X_{LL}^{+}(u) \\ &- q^{\pm(n-1)}[n]X_{RR}^{+}(u)(S^{\pm})^{n-1}S_{L}^{\pm}(q^{-2(S^{Z}\pm n\pm\phi)} - 1)\Pi(\phi)^{-1} \\ (T^{\pm})^{n}\tau(u;\phi) &= \tau(u;\phi-n)(T^{\pm})^{n} - q^{\pm(n-1)}[n](T^{\pm})^{n-1}T_{1}^{\pm}(q^{-2(S^{Z}\pm n\mp\phi)} - 1)\Pi(\phi)X_{LL}^{-}(u) \\ &- q^{\mp(n-1)}[n]X_{RR}^{-}(u)(T^{\pm})^{n-1}T_{1}^{\pm}(q^{2(S^{Z}\pm n\mp\phi)} - 1)\Pi(\phi)^{-1} . \end{split}$$

References

- [1] Bethe H A 1931 Z. Phys. 71 205
- Yang C N and Yang C P 1966 *Phys. Rev.* **150** 321
 Yang C N and Yang C P 1966 *Phys. Rev.* **150** 327
- [3] Alcaraz F C, Barber M and Batchelor M 1988 Ann. Phys., NY 182 280
- [4] Shastry B S and Sutherland B 1990 Phys. Rev. Lett. 65 243
- [5] Korepin V E and Wu A C T 1991 Int. J. Mod. Phys. B 5 497
- [6] Yu N and Fowler M 1992 Phys. Rev. B 46 14583

- [7] Römer R A, Eckle H-P and Sutherland B 1995 Phys. Rev. B 52 1656
- [8] Fumita N, Itoyama H and Oota T 1997 Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 12 801
- [9] Byers N and Yang C N 1961 Phys. Rev. Lett. 7 46
- [10] Kohn W 1964 Phys. Rev. 133 A171
- [11] Heilmann O J and Lieb E H 1971 Ann. NY Acad. Sci. 172 583
- [12] Deguchi T, Fabricius K and McCoy B M 2001 J. Stat. Phys. 102 701
- Fabricius K and McCoy B M 2001 J. Stat. Phys. 103 647
 Fabricius K and McCoy B M 2001 J. Stat. Phys. 104 575
- [14] Korff C and McCoy B M 2001 Nucl. Phys. B 618 551
- [15] Fabricius K and McCoy B M 2002 MathPhys Odyssey 2001 ed M Kashiwara and T Miwa (Boston, MA: Birkhäuser) p 119
- [16] Deguchi T 2002 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 35 879 Deguchi T 2002 Int. J. Mod. Phys. B 16 1899
- [17] Fabricius K and McCoy B M 2003 J. Stat. Phys. 111 323 (Preprint cond-mat/0207177)
- [18] Korff C 2003 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 36 5229 (Preprint math-ph/0305035)
- [19] Deguchi T 2002 Preprint cond-mat/0212217
- [20] Belavin A A and Jimbo M 2002 Preprint hep-th/0208224
- [21] Belavin A A 2003 Preprint hep-th/0305209
- [22] Stroganov Yu 2001 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 34 L179
- [23] Razumov A V and Stroganov Yu 2001 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 34 3185
- [24] Batchelor M T, de Gier J and Nienhuis B 2001 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 34 L265
- [25] de Gier J, Batchelor M T, Nienhuis B and Mitra S 2001 Preprint cond-mat/0110011
- [26] Lusztig G 1993 Introduction to Quantum Groups (Basle: Birkhäuser)
- [27] Akutsu Y and Wadati M 1987 J. Phys. Soc. Japan 56 3037
- [28] Deguchi T, Wadati M and Akutsu Y 1988 J. Phys. Soc. Japan 57 1905
- [29] Temperly H N V and Lieb E H 1971 Proc. R. Soc. A 322 251
- [30] Baxter R J 1982 J. Stat. Phys. 28 1
- [31] Pasquier V and Saleur H 1990 Nucl. Phys. B 330 523
- [32] Belavin A A and Gubanov S Yu 2001 Theor. Math. Phys. 129 1484